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IMRT class solution to spare binocular eyesight
Goal
Intensity modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) class solution to spare binocular eyesight following radiation therapy treatment of ethmoid sinus cancer.

Methodology
11 patients with early- to late-stage ethmoid sinus cancer without regional lymph node metastasis were enrolled between February 1999 and July 2000. Treatment of these first patients resulted in the development of a standardized protocol used to treat subsequent patients. Delivery automation is based on two IMRT planning tools developed at Ghent University Hospital, Belgium, an anatomy-based segmentation tool (ABST) and a segment outline and weight adapting tool (SOWAT).

Implementation
A 3mm isotropic expansion margin was applied to the CTV to obtain the planning target volume (PTV). Set-up uncertainty in the organ(s) at risk (OAR) was accounted for by isotropically expanding these structures. The following table summarizes the PTV dose prescription and the OAR dose constraints. Dose parameters were based on published data for conventional radiation therapy.

Protocol implementation strategies
For the first patients an individualized approach was taken with the physician defining beam incidences and drawing segments using beams-eye-view (BEV) to create additional segments close to optical structures. An interactive optimization tool assigned segment weights to minimize doses to optic pathway structures and other OARs. This created desirable IMRT dose distributions but was too inefficient for routine planning. Therefore ABST and SOWAT tools were used to provide a guideline that could be used to implement a standardized protocol.

Anatomy-based approach
This solution generates a plan closely customized to the protocol constraints and within a predictable treatment time slot thereby maintaining patient flow. Defined by the class solution, ABST creates segments using BEV projection of the PTV and OAR structures for each of the seven beam incidences. The biophysical segment weight optimization tool (BP-SWOT) assigns weights to all segments. The segment shape and weights are optimized with those weighted 1MU or less deleted. Resultant DVHs are evaluated against the clinical protocol. Once the plan passes the protocol criteria, the segment delivery sequence is optimized and prescription files generated.

Conclusion
Preliminary results are promising but it is too soon to confirm the hypothesis that an IMRT solution will save binocular vision. However, the dry eye syndrome that afflict 10% of patients after conventional radiation therapy has not been observed. This approach reduces the protocol translation time from a few days to about two hours. The IMRT planning tools allowed delivery of 20 to 37 segments within a 15 minute time slot or less.